ai discovery library engine — strategic framework

version: draft v1.0

status: research concept

purpose

this document outlines the strategic design and potential deployment model for the ai discovery library engine.

it describes how the system could operate as an adaptive learning layer that transforms books and structured curriculum materials into guided inquiry pathways.

this document focuses on system strategy and potential applications rather than runtime implementation.

---

executive summary

the ai discovery library engine converts structured knowledge sources such as books, outlines, headings, and glossaries into guided inquiry-driven learning systems.

instead of delivering static content, the system constructs a navigable concept lattice that allows learners to explore ideas through structured questions and controlled progression.

the system does not replace source material.

it transforms structural knowledge into guided cognition.

primary goals include:

- increased retention
- increased engagement
- improved conceptual integration
- preservation of parental safety control
- scalable intellectual depth without artificial ceilings

---

core idea

traditional curriculum is typically linear and chapter-based.

discovery learning reorganizes knowledge structurally.

traditional model

linear → chapter sequence → information delivery

discovery engine model

structural → concept mapping → inquiry navigation

inputs may include:

- table of contents
- chapter titles
- section headings
- glossary terms
- index themes
- optional external sources

outputs include:

- hierarchical concept maps
- overlapping conceptual connections
- guided question layers
- structured exploration paths
- mastery tracking nodes

the learner progresses by selecting exploration prompts.

the system may present four directional prompts at each step.

---

functional capabilities

structural extraction

- identify major conceptual domains
- detect subdomains and dependencies
- extract recurring vocabulary
- map theme repetition

concept lattice construction

- build non-linear knowledge topology
- detect overlap across chapters
- identify hidden conceptual relationships

guided inquiry generation

- orientation prompts
- causal “why / how” prompts
- cross-domain prompts
- application prompts
- synthesis prompts

adaptive scaling

- adjust language complexity dynamically
- scale intellectual depth upward or downward
- preserve conceptual clarity across levels

mastery tracking

- objective-based progression
- micro-assessment prompts
- teach-back verification
- completion ledger system
- exportable progress summaries

---

benefits

for learners

- deeper structural understanding
- nonlinear exploration without chaos
- self-directed learning within a structured framework
- improved cross-domain conceptual integration

for parents

- transparent reasoning
- configurable safety profiles
- absence of ideological steering
- clear progress visibility

for homeschool programs

- adaptive overlay for existing curriculum
- rapid content updates
- reduced reliance on static textbooks
- compatibility with funding-allotment models

structural advantages

- continuously updatable knowledge sources
- no fixed curriculum revision cycles
- modular integration across books
- cross-author concept synthesis

---

potential risks

structural drift

- over-connecting unrelated ideas
- false conceptual bridges
- hallucinated dependencies

completion ambiguity

• exploration without mastery verification

• subjective progression if completion rules are weak

scaling instability

• language complexity increasing without conceptual clarity

• intellectual inflation without real understanding

regulatory complexity

• accreditation requirements

• curriculum alignment expectations

• reporting obligations

interpretation risk

• system neutrality misinterpreted as ideological positioning

---

structural requirements

curriculum graph model

node structure

concept + learning objectives + prerequisites

edge structure

allowed conceptual progression paths

graph weighting

balance between exploration depth and breadth

progress ledger

- objective identifiers
- status markers
- evidence references
- completion criteria

prompt generator logic

four directional prompts per interaction:

- mechanism
- implications
- historical context
- cross-domain connection

scaling engine

- bidirectional language elasticity
- safe reset capability
- tone consistency across levels

safety layer

- independent of intellectual scaling
- parent-configurable safety profiles
- domain-based boundary enforcement

---

positioning options

option a — curriculum overlay

the system operates as a learning layer over existing homeschool curriculum.

advantages

- lower regulatory burden
- faster adoption

option b — independent curriculum system

the discovery engine becomes a fully adaptive curriculum framework.

advantages

• higher long-term potential
• greater structural flexibility

tradeoff

• increased regulatory oversight and complexity

---

philosophical framework

the system does not:

• rank belief systems
• steer ideology
• simulate authority
• replace parental oversight

the system does:

• structure reasoning

• reveal causal relationships

• surface tradeoffs

• encourage inquiry over passive intake

• preserve epistemic honesty

the discovery library engine is a cognitive architecture tool rather than a worldview engine.

---

long-term vision

possible future capabilities include:

• unified cross-book concept maps

• mastery progression trees

• adaptive review loops

• learner portfolio export for accreditation

• multi-domain knowledge integration

• learner cognitive profile development

the ai becomes an intellectual navigator rather than a textbook.

---

end ai discovery library engine — strategic framework